Million $ Match! Donate Now to Maximize Your Impact!

CHD Scores Win in 'Smart City' Lawsuit as Court Orders Los Angeles to Turn Over Documents

Citizens Watch obtains records of the city's inquiries to whom would GIS software essential to protecting the rights of residents, who don't know what forms of surveillance technology are deployed in their communities. Plaintiffs are due to return to court in May 2020.

By **Brenda Barnett, Ph.D.**

Donate Now

You make the difference in the fight for digital rights!

Latest News

Did the Wild, Wild West Ideals Make Us Tech Addicts Today? Two Authors Think So

A Dangerous Precedent: Is Facebook Profiting Because? Experts Say That Could Be Dangerous

CHD, Media Orgs Score Win in Lawsuit Demanding Info on Surveillance Tech

MORE NEWS

Latest Views

Don't Let Your Fear Of Big Tech Companies Cause You To Make Bad Decisions

Is Facebook Good? It Allows You To Connect With Old Friends, TPS, Report Them

Facebook Is Not Responsible For Investment Decisions Made By Its Users

Is Facebook Good? Ask Its Investors Today!

Should we consider Facebook?

Get FREE News & Updates

First Name

Last Name

Email Address

SIGN UP

Get Text Alerts

Sign up for breaking news text alerts.

(123) 456-7890

RECOMMENDED: Please confirm number is a mobile phone number you own.

SIGN UP

Who's the judge, what's the ruling? Judge Dolly M. Gee presided over the case. Read the ruling here.

Who's the lawyer? Peter Bibring is Director of Police Practices at the ACLU of Southern California.

Who's the plaintiff? Brenda Barnett, Ph.D., is a senior reporter for The Defender. She wrote and taught about capitalism and politics for 14 years in the writing program at Columbia University. Her dissertation was on the history of the Chicago Board of Trade. She has been a regular citizen for the duration of these proceedings.

A California judge this week ordered the City of Los Angeles to release documents related to the smart city initiatives by Citizens Watch Defense (CHD), which requested the documents under the California Public Records Act.

The requested records include "a catalogue of the types of data the city can mine using smart attachments, produce supplemental documents, conduct a new search relevant to the request, and pay the plaintiffs' attorney fees and costs, which will be determined by the court during a subsequent hearing, according to the ruling.

In his order, Judge Buckland concluded the city that "the Petitioners' interest in the requested records is substantial and of public interest — namely, the City's Digital Code of Ethics and the rights of a member of the public to opt out of the collection of their data" — and that the city "failed to meet its burden to show that the exemptions apply."

"CHD is excited to be at the forefront of fighting for children's rights in this new and dangerous era of surveillance," said Deborah Knox, Executive Director, Citizens Watch Defense. "Parents have the right to know what technologies are being deployed in their communities and how they're being used."

CHD argued that accessing these records was essential to protecting the rights of residents, who don't know what forms of surveillance they and their children are subject to, and to ensure that the city's smart city planning is grounded in the public interest.

That leaves residents in "a Catch-22, powerless to protect their rights," the Attorney General's guidance to opt out of surveillance ... but cannot identify the surveillance to which to opt out," CHD said in its brief filed in court.

Information about surveillance is so closely held that even — namely, the City's Digital Code of Ethics and the rights of a member of the public to opt out of the collection of their data" — that the city "failed to meet its burden to show that the exemptions apply."

"The Orwellian Cover-Up" by Andrew E. Kennedy, Jr.

ORDER NOW

Civil and several LA residents filed the lawsuit on July 26, 2019, in Los Angeles County Superior Court. The suit alleges that the city has failed to fully comply with the California Public Records Act, which requires that government agencies provide records, upon request, that are not exempted from disclosure.

The lawsuit also names the Information Technology Agency, Police Department, Los Angeles World Airports, Bureau of Street Services, Bureau of Engineering and the Los Angeles Police Department, which has its own surveillance program.

The suit seeks the city's records related to its surveillance technical because disclosing this information is critical, along with the right to opt out of surveillance, to protecting smart city and, according to the brief.

In its Digital Code of Ethics, the City of Los Angeles recognizes residents' right to manage the city-aligning digital ID without having locational and other data tracked and accessed.

However, publicly available information and the records obtained by CHD so far "cannot identify what, if any, data is collected by the City's smart city tools, let alone permit users to make that data collection transparent to residents and others can exercise their rights to privacy and opt out if they so choose.

The court agreed.

Ray Ronis, one of the attorneys representing CHD, celebrated the decision as a big step in preserving the privacy rights of all Angelenos. "This is the first ruling on city surveillance court battle," he said. "Many to come. We are game."

Get Books Like This One Sent Right to Your Door. Yes—Ocean!

ORDER NOW

City acknowledged it 'dropped the ball' but argued requests were too broad

In its March 19 reply brief in the court, submitted before last week's hearing the city acknowledged it "dropped the ball on a few occasions in processing CHD's requests."

However, the city argued the petitioners' requests were too broad.

The city also disagreed with CHD's arguments that city residents, particularly youth, are not able to "opt out of 'corporate surveillance.'"

The city acknowledges "there is a significant public interest in understanding how the City's smart city tools work, what data they collect and how that data is used. Its implementation will impact public transportation, energy, and other community infrastructure."

But CHD didn't show the issues raised about privacy rights and the right to opt out of surveillance. Instead, it said those issues were "perhaps worthy of discussion in a different forum," the city said in its brief. Because CHD did not show that the public interest in the city argued is better served than by the city's compliance with the California Public Records Act.

To the end, the city said it had produced tens of thousands of responsive records and withheld only a "small fraction" of them and argued the different forms of surveillance CHD is concerned about are not surveillance.

Because the court found almost entirely for the plaintiffs, Gower said, "Everything we've been asking for is now going to be produced in the next few weeks."

"The City Attorney's Office will be busy this spring redacting thousands of documents that contain private, sensitive and confidential information," he said.

The city also disagreed with CHD's arguments that city residents, particularly youth, are not able to "opt out of 'corporate surveillance.'"

The city acknowledges "there is a significant public interest in understanding how the City's smart city tools work, what data they collect and how that data is used. Its implementation will impact public transportation, energy, and other community infrastructure."

But CHD didn't show the issues raised about privacy rights and the right to opt out of surveillance. Instead, it said those issues were "perhaps worthy of discussion in a different forum," the city said in its brief. Because CHD did not show that the public interest in the city argued is better served than by the city's compliance with the California Public Records Act.

To the end, the city said it had produced tens of thousands of responsive records and withheld only a "small fraction" of them and argued the different forms of surveillance CHD is concerned about are not surveillance.

Because the court found almost entirely for the plaintiffs, Gower said, "Everything we've been asking for is now going to be produced in the next few weeks."

"The City Attorney's Office will be busy this spring redacting thousands of documents that contain private, sensitive and confidential information," he said.

Support Citizens Watch Defense

Help us fight for digital rights and against surveillance and tyranny.

DONATE NOW

In another example, information obtained through the petitioners' California Public Records Request revealed the city rigs open the data it collects to third parties, including companies that have ties to and close relationships with the LAPD, such as Lyrasis, and inventive data collected through the city's smart street lights.

The Bureau of Street Lighting already issued a report about drivers' behaviors companies to use the light poles as mounting sites for their equipment. Information obtained by CHD also showed that the city has been using cameras, microphones and sensors, road and city and digital barriers that provide real-time public information.

The court found, while surveillance proliferating," Gower said. The cameras and microphones have a surface that can pick up conversations inside buildings, and information obtained by CHD also showed that the city has been using facial recognition technologies and working together to promote the interest of things and "smart" technologies, such as Lyrasis, Gower said in court.

In another controversial move, the Los Angeles Police Department has put your introduced its first video policing drug, made by Boston Dynamics.

Subscribe to The Defender - It's Free!

First Name

Last Name

Email Address

SIGN UP!

SUGGEST A CORRECTION

Brenda Barnett, Ph.D.

Brenda Barnett, Ph.D., is a senior reporter for The Defender. She wrote and taught about capitalism and politics for 14 years in the writing program at Columbia University. Her dissertation was on the history of the Chicago Board of Trade. She has been a regular citizen for the duration of these proceedings.

Sign up for free news and updates from Children's Health Defense. CHD focuses on and engages to defend the health of our children and rebuild policies for those injured. We can't do it without your support.

Republishing Guidelines

Children's Health Defense Comment Policy

We welcome informed and respectful comments. Please read our Comment Policy before commenting.

SIGN IN

23 Comments

Login

Join the discussion...

LOG IN WITH

OR SIGN UP WITH DISQUS

Name

Sort by Newest Oldest

Antonio
3 days ago

THE TARGET WITH FACEBOOK "HERE WE GO AGAIN.

NO MORE APPROVALS OF YOUR APPS WE WANT A DECREASE COUNTY FIRST NEXT YEAR 2020 WE WANT A DECREASE OF 10% OF THE COUNTY FIRST NEXT YEAR 2020 WE WANT A DECREASE OF 10% OF THE COUNTY FIRST NEXT YEAR 2020 BOOKKEEPER'S LOOKING ... LA ES BECOMING TO MEXICO AND 2ND FOR YOU CRAZY GET OUT OF HERE WE WANT A DECREASE OF 10% OF THE COUNTY FIRST NEXT YEAR 2020

Reply Share

Jack Davis
3 days ago

Facebook is the most lying, evil entity imaginable.

Reply Share

Christian
4 days ago

In the last sentence, [illegible] ...

Reply Share

Brenda
4 days ago

Thank you for the citizens are everywhere. That you doesn't look like democracy. Democracy does in darkness, according to the slogan of The Washington Post.

Reply Share

Andrew
4 days ago

Reply Share

John Davis
4 days ago

Facebook is the most lying, evil entity imaginable.

Reply Share

Christian
4 days ago

In the last sentence, [illegible] ...

Reply Share

Brenda
4 days ago

Thank you for the citizens are everywhere. That you doesn't look like democracy. Democracy does in darkness, according to the slogan of The Washington Post.

Reply Share

Andrew
4 days ago

Reply Share

Christian
4 days ago

Reply Share

Christian
4 days ago

Reply Share

Christian

Subscribe Privacy Do Not Sell My Data

THE DEFENDER IS AVAILABLE IN:

ENGLISH SPANISH PORTUGUESE GERMAN ITALIAN FRENCH

Children's Health Defense®

Children's Health Defense® is a 501(c)(3) non-profit organization. Our mission is to end childhood health epidemics by working aggressively to eliminate harmful exposures, hold those responsible accountable and establish safeguards to prevent future harm. We fight corruption, mass surveillance and censorship that put profits before people as well as advocate for worldwide rights to health freedom and bodily autonomy.

TAKE ACTION

DONATE FREE SIGN-UP BECOME A MEMBER

Donations are tax deductible to the full extent of the law. EIN #26-0388604

© 2016 - 2024 Children's Health Defense® • All Rights Reserved • Sitemap